Kentucky Cancer Consortium- Comprehensive Cancer Control (CCC) Evaluation Plan July 2015 - June 2016

The following template provides the objectives of the 2015-2016 CCC Evaluation Plan. Full evaluation reports will be provided as evaluation objectives are completed.

Context for the Evaluation

Step 1: Engage Stakeholders **Step 2:** Describe the Program

Step 3: Focus the Evaluation Design **Step 4:** Gather Credible Evidence

Step 5: Justify Conclusions

Step 6: Ensure Use and Share Lessons Learned

PARTNERSHIP: quality, contributions and impacts of the Kentucky Cancer Consortium: pp. 3-4

PROGRAM: extent to which interventions in our Kentucky Cancer Action Plan are executed and yield intended results. **Pp 5**

PLAN: quality and implementation of the Kentucky Cancer Action plan pp. 6

Written in Partnership with:

Evaluation Stakeholders

Amanda Bucher
Andrea Shepherd
Becki Thompson
Debra Armstrong
Elaine Russell
Elizabeth Holtsclaw
Jaclyn Nee
Jamie Sparks
Maria Gomez
Rob Satterly
Turner West
Vivian Lasley-Bibbs

KCC Staff

Jennifer Redmond Knight, DrPH, KCC Co-Investigator Katie Bathje, MA, LPCC, KCC Program Director Kristian Wagner, MS, RD, LD, KCC Health Policy Director

PARTNERSHIP Section

quality, contributions and impacts of the Kentucky Cancer Consortium

Steps 3-4 of the CDC Evaluation Framework

Objective: "PARTNERSHIP" Evaluation Section:

Topic: Satisfaction with KCC organizational and group activities

Evaluation 0	Questions	Indiantar(a)	Data collection				Data Amakada
		Indicator(s)	Source Method		Timing	- Data Analysis	
What does KC that is most he member organ	lpful to	Aggregated information organized into themes (see appendix A below)	KCC members strategic planning interviews	inter	phone views ady done)	July 2015	Summarize/organize responses by themes
 How long have members been How involved a members? How satisfied a members with contribution im success of CA implementation How satisfied a members with ownership and 	n involved? are KCC are KCC how their pacts the P n? are KCC a sense of	Involvement level (likert scale) Very involved – Haven't participated in more than a year Level of satisfaction (likert scale)	KCC members	surve Surv	tronic ey and/or reys at the of each ting??	April 2016	Counts and Percentages

				1	1	,
		erstanding as part of the				
	KC(C?				
•	Hov	v satisfied are KCC				
	mer	mbers with collaboration				
	amo	ong partners within the				
	KC	C?				
•	Are	there any barriers or				
		llenges to accomplishing				
		C organizational and				
		m activities?				
	If ye	es, please describe.				
	,	, i				
	Qua	arterly KCC Meetings:				
	0	Did the Quarterly KCC				
		meeting discussions,				
		speakers, etc. increase				
		your understanding of				
		cancer related topics?				
	0	Were you satisfied with				
		the networking				
		opportunities provided				
		during meetings?				
	0	Did you gain more or				
		strengthen partnerships				
		to support your				
		organizational goals by				
		attending meetings?				
	0	Did the provision of				
		meeting minutes and				
		action items help you				
		stay focused on team				
ш		*	ı	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	

	tasks?
0	What were your
	expectations of the
	meetings?
0	Were these
	expectations met?
0	What did you like about
	these meetings?
0	What would you like to
	see changed about
	these meetings?
	_
	am meetings: ACA and
Ca	ncer Team, Cancer
Pa	tient Navigation Network,
Lui	ng Cancer Network
Ra	don Coalition
	Bild V.T
0	Did the X Team
	meeting discussions,
	speakers, etc. increase
	understanding of
	cancer related topics?
0	Were you satisfied with
	the networking
	opportunities provided
	during meetings?
0	Did you gain more or
	strengthen partnerships
	to support your
	organizational goals by
	attending meetings?
	attorialing mootings:

	Distance delice a different		I	
0	Did providing different			
	venues for smaller			
	group meetings (face to			
	face and conference			
	call) facilitate access			
	and participation for			
	you and your			
	organization?			
0	Did the provision of	,		
	meeting minutes and	,		
	action items help you			
	stay focused on team			
	tasks?			
0	What were your	,		
	expectations of the			
	meetings?			
0	Were these			
	expectations met?			
0	What did you like about	,		
	these meetings?	,		
0	What would you like to			
	see changed about	,		
	these meetings?	,		
	Š	,		
		<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>

PROGRAM Section

Extent to which interventions in our Kentucky Cancer Action Plan are executed and yield intended results

Steps 3-4 of the CDC Evaluation Framework

Objective: "PROGRAM" Evaluation Section:

Topic: ACA and Cancer Factsheet Dissemination

Link to the fact sheets; http://www.kycancerc.org/breakoutteams/aca.php

Evaluation Questions	Indicator(s)	Data collection			Data Analysis
	ilidicator(s)	Source M	Method	Timing	Data Allalysis
 What organizations (and types of orgs) actively promoted the distribution of fact sheets? How were the fact sheets distributed through these organizations? (Website, social media, radio, newspaper, TV, churches, local health departments/staff, other) Which organizations did not disseminate the fact sheets? Why not? Didn't know about the 	 Name and type of organization Feedback themes Policy/systems changes made 	 Responses from KCC members and partners Same Same 	 Email In-person meetings Phone meetings Other? 	July 2015 – June 2016	 Frequencies (counts) Identifying themes Frequencies and themes

- 1				
- [fact sheets			
	 Do not work with the 			
	targeted audiences			
	 Use other resources 			
	instead			
	Others?			
4	 What feedback or 			
	recommendations did			
	organizations provide on the			
	fact sheets?			
•	 Did organizations make 			
	policy/systems changes as			
	a result of utilizing the ACA			
	and Cancer Fact			
	sheets/Meetings with KCC?			
,	 What were the 			
	policy/systems changes?			
	 Adopting distribution o 			
	the ACA and Cancer			
	fact sheets as part of			
	their own			
	organization's work			
	plan, services and stat			
	time/travel			
	 Facilitating access to 			
	benefits (tobacco			
	cessation, coverage of			
	cancer services, etc.)			
	o Others?			

PLAN Section

Quality and implementation of the Kentucky Cancer Action plan

Steps 3-4 of the CDC Evaluation Framework

Objective: "PLAN" Evaluation Section:

Topic: Cancer Action Plan priorities by organization and for KCC overall

			Data collection		
Evaluation Questions			Data Analysis		
	Indicator(s)	Source	Method	Timing	Duta Analysis
 What areas of the Cancer Action Plan are priorities for member organizations? What areas of the Cancer Action Plan did KCC members recommend as KCC priorities? Does our CAP raise awareness to the priority strategies outlined in the plan? Is there increased support and partnerships for the priorities outlined in the plan? How do KCC members plan to use sections of the 	 Categories and areas of the Cancer Action Plan (see appendix A below) Categories and areas of the Cancer Action Plan (see Appendix A below) Could you tell us how you think you will use the information from the revised area of the Cancer Action Plan? 	Strategic Planning Interviews Strategic Planning Interviews Survey to KCC members after each CAP revision	Telephone interviews Telephone interviews Electronic Survey	 July 2015 (already done by Jessica) July 2015 December 2015 and June 2016 	 Frequencies (counts, percentages) Frequencies (counts, percentages) Graph/charts to highlight priorities for members vs. recommended KCC priorities Frequencies (counts, percentages)

Cancer Action Plan that			
have been recently revised			
(such as quality of life,			
colon, tobacco, etc)?			
 Inform decision 			
making and priority			
setting for my			
organization			
 Review or measure 			
progress toward			
health outcome			
 Disseminate within my 			
network of			
staff/partners			
○ Educate my staff and			
partners on evidence			
based cancer control			
objectives			
 Use the CAP revised 			
section as a reference			
when applying for			
grants or other funding			
opportunities			

Appendix A: Strategic Planning Interview Worksheet used during telephone interviews

Organization:
Participant:
Phone Number:
Date: Your Organization's Priorities

1). In regards to cancer, what is your organization working on- what are your "Big 3" initiatives/priorities- for the coming year, 2015-2016?

Based on question #1, responses were categorized/organized in this way:

Top Cancer and Chronic Disease Priorities (i.e., cancer risk factors) from CDC and GW Cancer Institute addressed in partners' work (from webinar on aligning state cancer action plans)- highlight sections covered by partner/organization's work:

Cancer Priorities	Chronic Disease Priorities
Colorectal cancer (screening)	Nutrition, physical activity & obesity
Cervical cancer (& HPV vaccination)	Tobacco & alcohol use
Skin cancer	Access to health services
Lung cancer	Mental & emotional wellbeing
Survivorship	
Approaches & Strategy Categories for	approaching Priorities
Policy, systems, & environmental approaches	Managing illness & shared decision making
Communication, education & training	Prevention
Epidemiology, surveillance and IT	Early Detection & Screening
Health disparities, accessible & affordable	Psychosocial health needs
care	
Health care coordination	Treatment & Care: Quality improvement
Community-clinical linkages	

Cancer Action Plan area(s) addressed:
Specific Structuring Noted by Doutroug What they are implementing for a single
Specific Strategies Noted by Partners: What they are implementing/focusing
on:
•
•
•
•
•
•
•

	Key Terms/	Partner Organizations' "Big 3" initiatives/priorities for the
	Phrase	coming year(s)
1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8		

KCC's Future Priorities

2). What do you think KCC's "Big 3" initiatives/priorities should be over the next several years?

Top Cancer and Chronic Disease Priorities (i.e., cancer risk factors) from CDC and GW Cancer Institute addressed in partners' work (from webinar on aligning state cancer action plans)- highlight sections covered partner/organization would like KCC to focus on in the coming year(s):

Cancer Priorities	Chronic Disease Priorities			
Colorectal cancer (screening)	Nutrition, physical activity & obesity			
Cervical cancer (& HPV vaccination)	Tobacco & alcohol use			
Skin cancer	Access to health services			
Lung cancer	Mental & emotional wellbeing			
Survivorship				
Approaches & Strategy Categories for	r approaching Priorities			
Policy, systems, & environmental approaches	Managing illness & shared decision making			
Communication, education & training	Prevention			
Epidemiology, surveillance and IT	Early Detection & Screening			
Health disparities, accessible & affordable	Psychosocial health needs			
care				
Health care coordination	Treatment & Care: Quality improvement			
Community-clinical linkages				
Cancer Action Plan area(s) addressed	•			
Specific Strategies Noted by Partners: implement/focus on:	What they want KCC to			
•				
•				
•				

	Key Terms/ Phrase	KCC's "Big 3" initiatives/priorities for the coming year(s)
1		
2		
3		

3). What does KCC provide that	t is most helpful to you/your	r organization?	(Fact sheets,	Resource Plan, 0	Quarterly n	neetings,
Wednesday's Word, etc.)						

	Key Terms/ Phrase	How KCC is Helpful
	Phrase	
1		
2		
3		
4		

4). Additional notes, quotes, etc.: