Kentucky Cancer Consortium- Comprehensive Cancer Control (CCC) Evaluation Report July 2016 - June 2017

The following is the evaluation plan for CCC July 2016 – June 2017 using the Comprehensive Cancer Control Branch Program Evaluation Toolkit and the CDC Evaluation Framework as a guide for the evaluation plan and report.

Context for the Evaluation

- **Step 1:** Engage Stakeholders
- **Step 2:** Describe the Program
- **Step 3:** Focus the Evaluation Design
- **Step 4:** Gather Credible Evidence
- **Step 5:** Justify Conclusions
- **Step 6:** Ensure Use and Share Lessons Learned

PARTNERSHIP: *quality, contributions and impacts of the Kentucky Cancer Consortium*: **p. 3-7**

PROGRAM: extent to which interventions in our Kentucky Cancer Action Plan are executed and yield intended results **p. 8-10**

PLAN: quality and implementation of the Kentucky Cancer Action plan **p. 11-13**

Written in Partnership with:

Evaluation Stakeholders

Amanda Bucher, University of Kentucky BREATHE and Kentucky Center for Smoke-free Policy Becki Thompson, Kentucky Department for Public Health, Kentucky Colon Cancer Screening Program Debra Armstrong, Kentucky Cancer Program Elaine Russell, Kentucky Department for Public Health, Obesity Prevention Program and Partnership for a Fit Kentucky Elizabeth Holtsclaw, American Cancer Society Jaclyn Nee, Kentucky Cancer Registry Jamie Sparks, Kentucky Department of Education Maria Gomez, University of Kentucky College of Nursing, Health Disparities Turner West, Kentucky Association of Hospice and Palliative Care Vivian Lasley-Bibbs, Kentucky Department for Public Health, Office of Health Equity

KCC Staff

Jennifer Redmond Knight, DrPH, KCC Co-Investigator Katie Bathje, MA, LPCC, KCC Program Director Kristian Wagner, MS, RD, LD, KCC Health Policy Director Audrey Bachman, MA, KCC Practicum public health student and PhD candidate in health communication

Context for the Evaluation

The Kentucky Cancer Consortium has an annual evaluation plan that looks at three components "partnership, program and plan" in accordance with the Comprehensive Cancer Control Branch Program Evaluation Toolkit. The Partnership section assesses quality, contributions and impacts of the Kentucky Cancer Consortium. The Program section assesses the extent to which interventions in our Kentucky Cancer Action Plan are executed and yield intended results and the Plan section assess quality and implementation of the Kentucky Cancer Action Plan. This year's evaluation plan focuses on partnership assessment with the KCC quarterly meetings and the Kentucky Cancer Summit. In addition, the partnership assessment will try to identify formal partnerships developed among KCC members out of participating in KCC. The program section focuses on utilization of the new KCC Lung Cancer Network's Communication Toolkit. The plan section focuses on how KCC members are using sections of the Cancer Action Plan as part of their organization's work.

PARTNERSHIP Section

Quality, contributions and impacts of the Kentucky Cancer Consortium

Step 1: Engage Stakeholders

In order to develop this evaluation plan, KCC staff engaged KCC members who have shown an interest in evaluation and agreed to participate in reviewing, refining and making recommendations for what is evaluated. These evaluation stakeholders represent academia, government and non-profit organizations from diverse areas of interest. For a complete list of the evaluation stakeholders who participated in this evaluation plan, please see page 2 of this report. These evaluation stakeholders reviewed past evaluation plans, made recommendations on focus areas, design and dissemination of results.

Step 2: Describe the Program

The Kentucky Cancer Consortium (KCC) has more than 70 member organizations including governmental organizations, insurance companies, health systems, non-profit organizations, professional societies, universities and others. The Partnership for the KCC operates in three primary ways: 1) Overall KCC 2) Teams and 3) Networks. The overall KCC meets quarterly, the current Teams include: ACA and Cancer Team and the Evaluation Team. During this year, KCC is assessing the overall KCC through post-meeting surveys. During the post-meeting survey in June 2017, KCC is assessing new and existing partnerships developed as a result of participating in KCC. The KCC will also work with other partners who are organizing the Kentucky Cancer Summit in March 2017 to share and utilize those evaluation results.

Steps 3-4 Focus Evaluation Design and Gather Credible Evidence

Evaluation Questions	Indicator(s)		Data collection	Dete Analysia	
	indicator(s)	Source	Method	Timing	 Data Analysis
 Quarterly KCC Meetings: Did this meeting meet your expectations? How satisfied were you with the discussion and speakers for this meeting? How satisfied were you with the networking opportunities 	Level of satisfaction (likert scale)	KCC members post- meeting survey	Electronic survey	November 2016	Summarize/organize responses by themes Counts and Percentages

	provided during this meeting?			
	How satisfied were you with			
0	-			
	the ability to strengthen			
	partnerships to support your			
	organizational goals by			
	attending this meeting?			
0	What topics would you like to			
	see addressed in future			
	meetings?			
0	What did you like about this			
	meeting?			
0	What would you like to see			
	changed about this meeting?			
As pa	art of the June Quarterly			
meet	ing evaluation, add the			
follov	ving questions to the post-			
meet	ing survey to assess		June 2017	Counts and
partn	ership more in depth:			Percentages
•	Have you developed new			
	partnerships as a result of			Summarize/organize
	being part of KCC over the			responses by themes
	past 5 years? (yes or no)			
•	If yes, what happened as a			
	result (select all that apply)?			
	 Shared Informational 			
	Resources			
	 Presented at a 			
	webinar, meeting,			
	conference, etc.			
	• Provided connections			

ta athana	Г — Г		1
to others			
• Worked collaboratively			
on a project and/or			
initiative			
 Applied for a grant 			
together			
 Promoted one 			
another's organization			
 Other, please 			
describe			
Please provide a brief			
summary of the results of the			
new partnerships you			
developed as a result of being			
part of KCC			
Have you strengthened			
existing partnerships as a			
result of being part of KCC			
over the past 5 years? (yes or			
no)			
If yes, what happened as a			
result (select all that apply)?			
 Shared Informational 			
Resources		March 1-2,	
 Presented at a 		2017	
webinar, meeting,		2017	
conference, etc.			
 Provided connections 			
to others			
 Worked collaboratively 			
on a project and/or			
	l l		

 initiative Applied for a grant together Promoted one another's organization Other, please describe Please provide a brief summary of the results of the existing partnerships you strengthened as a result of being part of KCC Summit Evaluation: Working with CCLT – Utilize results 	ummi	 Applied for a grant together Promoted one another's organization Other, please describe Please provide a brief summary of the results of the existing partnerships you strengthened as a result of being part of KCC mit Evaluation: Working with 	zation scribe of the J of				
---	------	---	---------------------------------------	--	--	--	--

Step 5: Justify Conclusions

Evaluation Questions	Indicators

Step 6: Ensure Use and Share Lessons Learned

KCC staff will review the evaluation results to make improvements with the overall KCC, Teams and Networks. In addition, KCC staff will prepare a user-friendly evaluation report that highlights key points learned from the evaluation efforts. This report will be shared via email to the KCC members and will be put on the KCC website. KCC staff will also continue to ask the evaluation stakeholders for the best ways to ensure use and share lessons learned.

PROGRAM Section

Extent to which interventions in our Kentucky Cancer Action Plan are executed and yield intended results

Step 1: Engage Stakeholders

In order to develop this evaluation plan, KCC staff engaged KCC members who have shown an interest in evaluation and agreed to participate in reviewing, refining and making recommendations for what is evaluated. These evaluation stakeholders represent academia, government and non-profit organizations from diverse areas of interest. For a complete list of the evaluation stakeholders who participated in this evaluation plan, please see page 2 of this report. These evaluation stakeholders reviewed past evaluation plans, made recommendations on focus areas, design and dissemination of results. In addition, they have provided recommendations on areas of implementation in the Cancer Action Plan that would be useful for evaluation

Step 2: Describe the Program

Steps 3-4 Focus Evaluation Design and Gather Credible Evidence

Objective: "PROGRAM" Evaluation Section:

Topics: KCC's Lung Cancer Network Communication Toolkit

One of the first initiatives of KCC's Lung Cancer Network has been to develop clear, unified messages on these areas of lung cancer prevention and early detection to educate the public, community leaders, healthcare professionals, healthcare system leaders and policymakers regarding lung cancer prevention and early detection. In October 2016, the Network finalized a Lung Cancer Communication Toolkit and has shared this with the Lung Cancer Network as well as overall KCC membership. This evaluation will look at the utilization of this Communication Toolkit.

Evaluation Questions	Indicator(s)		Data collection		Data Analysis
		Source	Method	Timing	Data Analysis
 Which organizations (and types of orgs) utilized the Lung Cancer communication toolkit? How was the toolkit utilized? Shared with partners/colleagues/staff To educate staff/partners In meetings/presentations Posted to organization's social media (website, facebook, twitter, etc.) Incorporated messages into their organization Other? If shared with partners, colleagues, staff, how was the lung cancer communication toolkit shared and distributed through these organizations? (Email, Website, social media, radio, newspaper, TV, churches, local health departments/staff, other)? If incorporated messages into 	 Organizations who utilized the toolkit How it was utilized How it was shared with others What messages were incorporated into existing organizations What topics/messages were most utilized by organizations Places where the toolkit was advertised promoted 	 KCC Lung Cancer Network Overall KCC membership 	• Electronic Survey	April 2017	 Counts Frequencies Percentages Qualitative information about utilization

their organization, which organizations incorporated messages from the Lung Cancer Communication Toolkit within their own organization?			
 Which topics/messages from the Lung Cancer Communication Toolkit were most utilized? 			
 Where did you see the Communication Toolkit advertised/promoted? 			
Other??			

Step 5: Justify Conclusions

Evaluation Questions	Indicators
	0

Step 6: Ensure Use and Share Lessons Learned

KCC staff will review the evaluation results to make understand the dissemination of the Lung Cancer Network's Communication toolkit and to make plans for future dissemination and implementation of this and other resources developed by KCC. In addition, KCC staff will prepare a user-friendly evaluation report that highlights key points learned from these evaluation efforts. This report will be shared via email to the KCC members and will be put on the KCC website. KCC staff will also continue to ask the evaluation stakeholders for the best ways to ensure use and share lessons learned.

PLAN Section

Quality and implementation of the Kentucky Cancer Action plan

Step 1: Engage Stakeholders

In order to develop this evaluation plan, KCC staff engaged KCC members who have shown an interest in evaluation and agreed to participate in reviewing, refining and making recommendations for what is evaluated. These evaluation stakeholders represent academia, government and non-profit organizations from diverse areas of interest. For a complete list of the evaluation stakeholders who participated in this evaluation plan, please see page 2 of this report. These evaluation stakeholders reviewed past evaluation plans, made recommendations on focus areas, design and dissemination of results.

Step 2: Describe the Program

KCC maintains the Kentucky Cancer Action Plan (CAP) and revises the CAP on a rolling basis. In order to make decisions about priority areas and to understand KCC member organization's priorities, As areas of the CAP are outdated and as there is new data that needs to be added to the CAP, KCC staff makes revisions to the CAP involving KCC members who have experience and expertise in the subject matter areas.

Steps 3-4 Focus Evaluation Design and Gather Credible Evidence

- Evaluation Questions	tion Plan				
	Indicator(s)	Source	Method	Timing	Data Analysis
 How do KCC members plan to use sections of the Cancer Action Plan that have been recently revised (such as quality of life, colon, tobacco, etc)? Inform decision making and priority setting for my organization Review or measure progress toward health outcome Disseminate within my network of staff/partners Educate my staff and partners on evidence based cancer control objectives Use the CAP revised section as a reference when applying for 	• How KCC members plan to use the information from the revised areas of the Cancer Action Plan?	• Survey to KCC members after each CAP revision	• Electronic Survey	 Nov 2016 Jan 2017 	 Frequencies (counts, percentages)

grants or other funding opportunities			

Step 5: Justify Conclusions

Evaluation Questions	Indicators

Step 6: Ensure Use and Share Lessons Learned

KCC staff will prepare a user-friendly evaluation report that highlights key points learned from these evaluation efforts. This report will be shared via email to the KCC members and will be put on the KCC website. KCC staff will also continue to ask the evaluation stakeholders for the best ways to ensure use and share lessons learned.